IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

FIRST APPEAL NO. 283 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Dhannu L. Patil & Anr. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 102 OF 1992

Ganu N. Gavada ..Appellant

versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 164 OF 1991

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Ganu N. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 284 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Krishna G. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 291 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Dattatraya S. Tupare ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 302 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Laxman R. Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 308 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Purshottam D. Gurav ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 323 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Narayan L. Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 331 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Pandurang M. Nagvekar ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 444 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Laxman B. Kalji ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO.908 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Golmanna K. Mohite ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 913 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Chudapa K. Jadhav & Anr. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1009 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Ravaloo B. Chirmukar ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1098 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Ramling L. Hulaji ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 287 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

B.G. Patil (D.H) 1A) Laxmi

Babu Rao Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 152 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Ramu Shetupa Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 154 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Bharmanna B. Zanjari & ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 157 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Pandurang B. Parwadkar ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 285 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Appu Bhairu Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 288 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Janki Kero Gurav & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 290 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Ramlinga T. Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 296 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Dhondiba G. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 298 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Devappa P. Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 299 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Laxman R.Gurao ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 301 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Ravalu T. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 303 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Guruvappa S. Gurav ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO . 304 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

A. P. Mundargi ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 305 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Krishna J. Mohite **..Respondent**

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 309 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Kalu Y. Shinde & Anr. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 310 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

L. K. Patil (D.H.) Smt. L.L.
Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 312 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

I.G. Patil (D.H) Smt. G.I.

Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 313 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

S.D. Patil (D.H) Smt. G.S.

Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 314 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Venku S. Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 315 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

G. N. Chougule ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 316 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Kachru R. Gurav ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 317 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus.

Gurunath G. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 319 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus.

Maruti R. Gurav & Anr. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 322 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versuss.

Vishav A. Patil & Anr. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 324 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus.

Vithal C. Gurav ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 325 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus.

Shardabai S. Bhusari ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 326 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus.

1A. Smt. Sitabai B. Kamble

& Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 328 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Maoruti R. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 329 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus.

Krishna M. Malkar ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 330 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Suresh M. Sabrad ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 426 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Dattu R. Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 911 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Punappa B. Gavada ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 912 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Bhoju M. Gurao ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1000 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Ningappa K. Patil ..Respondent

ALONG WITH

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1010 OF 1992

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Appellants

versus

Gavadu B. Patil & Ors. ..Respondents

Mr. K. K. Tated, AGP for the State in First Appeal Nos. 283/92, 102/91, 164/91, 284, 291, 302, 308, 323 and, 331 all of 1992.

Mr. B. R. Patil, Acting G.P. for the State in First Appeal Nos. 444, 908, 913, 1009 and 1098 of 1992.

Mr. S. N. Gawade, AGP for the State in First Appeal No. 287, 152, 154, 157 and 285 all of 1992.

Smt. G. P. Mulekar, AGP for the State in First Appeal Nos. 288, 290, 296, 298 and 299 all of 1992.

Mr. Y. M. Nakhwa, AGP for the State in First Appeal Nos. 301, 303, 304, 305 and 309 all of 1992.

Smt. P. H. Kantharia, AGP for the State in First Appeal Nos. 310, 312, 313, 314 and 315 all of 1992.

Smt. U. V. Kejariwal, AGP for the State in First Appeal Nos. 316, 317, 319, 322 and 324 all of 1992.
Mr. D. S. Mhaispurkar, AGP for the State in First Appeal Nos. 325, 326, 328, 329 and 330 all of 1992.
Mr. B. H. Mehta, AGP for the State in First Appeal Nos. 426, 911, 912, 1000 and 1010 all of 1992.

Mr. Suresh Sabrad with S. M. Kamble for the Claimants.

CORAM : D. G. DESHPANDE,J.

DATE : 24TH MARCH, 2005

ORAL ORDER :

1. All these Appeals arise out of a common order passed in Reference Cases by the Reference Court under the Land Acquisition Act.

2. According to the AGPs for the State all the

above appeals can be disposed of because they arise out of a common judgment. One of such appeals arising from the same judgment has been decided by this court viz. First Appeal No. 289 of 1992 by Justice Kakade on 8th December 2003. By that judgment the appeal of the State was dismissed. The learned AGPs therefore states that since these Appeals are also arising out of the same judgment, proper order should be passed. Accordingly, these Appeals are dismissed along with Civil applications, if any, in the respective Appeals. No order as to costs.

24.03.2005 (D.G. DESHPANDE, J.)